# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

United States of America

## ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING DISPOSITION

v.

	Gabrie	el Guadalupe Villasenor-Perez	Case Number: CR-15-50181-PHX-DLR
		e with FRCP 32.1 and 18 U.S.C. § 3 the following facts are established:	143(a)(1), a detention hearing has been submitted to the Court. I (Check one or both, as applicable.)
	the de	efendant is a danger to the community	and requires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in
	this c	ase.	
$\boxtimes$	the de	efendant is a serious flight risk and req	uires the detention of the defendant pending disposition in this case.
		PART I	FINDINGS OF FACT
	(1)	18 U.S.C. §3142 (e)(2)(A): The	defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local
		offense that would have been a federaisted) that is	eral offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal jurisdiction had
			ed in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).
			ximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.
		$\Box$ an offense for which a maximum	mum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
			after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal
			S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses. minor victim or that involves the possession or use of a firearm or
			terms are defined in section 921), or any other dangerous weapon, or
	(2)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(B): The offense described in finding 1 was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.	
	(3)	18 U.S.C. §3142(e)(2)(C): A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of the defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding 1.	
	(4)	Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) established	plish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of
		conditions will reasonably assure that the defendant has not rebutted t	ne safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find his presumption.
		Al	ternative Findings
	(1)	☐ for which a maximum term of under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c), 95	bable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in1 56(a), or 2332b.  for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is
		under 10 0.5.C. 1501-1574,	which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years of more is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).

nption established by finding 1 that no condition or sure the appearance of the defendant as required and the Findings  Ill flee; no condition or combination of conditions will lant as required.  will reasonably assure the safety of others and the obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.	
lant as required.  will reasonably assure the safety of others and the obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or	
will reasonably assure the safety of others and the obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or	
convincing evidence that he does not pose a risk of flight.	
OF REASONS FOR DETENTION  as applicable.)  ation <sup>3</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and	
I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:	
cts in the District of Arizona.	
e United States from which he/she might make a bond future appearance.	
<u> </u>	
future appearance.	
future appearance.	
r Sto	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Insert as applicable 18 U.S.C. §§1201, 1591,2241-42, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3, 2252(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing. 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). See 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to be taken into account.

#### $\boxtimes$ In addition:

The defendant submitted the issue of detention and is alleged to have violated conditions of supervised release by using cocaine, changing his residence without notifying the probation officer, failing to submit samples for urinalysis, and failing to complete substance abuse treatment. The Court finds that the defendant's continued use of illicit substances makes it unlikely he will abide by conditions of release and therefore he poses a flight risk.

The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

### PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

## PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE

IT IS ORDERED that should an appeal of this detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P., effective December 1, 2009, Defendant shall have fourteen (14) days from the date of service of a copy of this order or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written objections with the district court. Failure to timely file objections in accordance with Rule 59(a) may waive the right to review. 59(a), FED.R.CRIM.P.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Dated this 26th day of September, 2018.

Bridget S. Bade
United States Magistrate Judge